



WEEMALA RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT C2 REZONING LOT 1006 DP1270101 128 MUNIBUNG ROAD, BOOLAROO, NSW

# VISUAL IMPACT ASSESMENT Report Ref: 22012\_VIA\_230315

DRIVE

ROAD

DELASAL

Prepared for:

SNDLEBURY



Prepared By

Julia Jones HDB Town Planning& Design 44 Church Street, Maitland NSW 2320 LAWSON ROAD

Document Status

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Initial	Date
view	RT /	15/03/2023
view	RT	05/04/2023
view	AG	11/04/2023
view	- UN	25/09/2023

# **CONTENTS**

#### Introduction 1.0

- **Project Background** 1.1
- 1.2 **Executive Summary**
- 1.3 Objectives

#### Methodology of Assessment 2.0

- 2.1 Guidelines
- 2.2 **Visual Assessment Principles**
- 2.3 Photo-montages
- Modelling of the Development 2.4
- 2.5 Site Visit
- Selected Viewpoints 2.6
- Photographic Recording & Visualisation 2.7
- 2.8 Assessment of Visual Impact

#### 3.0 The Site and Environs

- 3.1 Location
- 3.2 Site Description
- 3.3 Context

#### **Baseline Description** 4.0

- Planning Context 4.1
- 4.2 Landscape Character

#### 5.0 **Visual Impact Assesment**

- Viewpoint Locations 5.1
- 5.2 Viewpoint 1
- 5.3 Viewpoint 2
- 5.4 Viewpoint 3
- 5.5 Viewpoint 4
- 5.6 Viewpoint 5
- 5.7 Viewpoint 6
- 5.8 Viewpoint 7
- 5.9 Viewpoint 8
- 5.10 Viewpoint 9
- Viewpoint 10 5.11
- 5.12 Viewpoint 11
- Viewpoint 12 5.13
- 5.14 Viewpoint 13
- **Overall Potential Visual Impact** 6.0
- 7.0 **Conclusions and Summary**
- 8.0 **Glossary of Terms**

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Site Location Figure 2 – Proposed Layout Figure 3 – Land Use Plan Figure 4 - Viewpoint (1-12) Locations Figure 5 - Viewpoint 13 Location

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Visual Receptor Sensitivity Table 2: Visual Receptor Magnitude of Change Table 3: Visual Impact Estimator Table 4: Distance to subject site from the selected view points Table 5: Overall Potential Visual Impact

# Appendix

Appendix A: Proposed Development - Lot 1006 Appendix B: Sight Line - Fotheringham Road to C2 land Appenidx C: Plan showing the identification marks for the proposed lots within the C2 land

Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd 44 Church Street, Maitland NSW 2320 PO Box 40 Maitland NSW 2320 Tel: (02) 4933 6682, Fax: (02) 4933 6683 Email: admin@hdb.com.au

Printed: File Reference: Applicant: HDB Project Manager: HDB Reference Number:

## **DISCLAIMER:**

This document is based on information available at the time and was prepared for a specific purpose. Any representation, statement, opinion or advice expressed or implied in this document is made in good faith, but on the basis that Hunter Development Brokerage Pty. Ltd., its agents or employees, are not liable (whether by reason of negligence, lack or care or otherwise) to any person and/ or other legally recognised entity for any damage or loss whatsoever, which has occurred or may occur, in relation to that person and/or other legally recognised entity taking or not taking (as the case may be) action, in respect of any representation, statement or advice referred to in this document. Persons and/or other legally recognised entities wishing to use any details provided in this document that may affect financial decisions are strongly urged to seek legal advice and contact relevant government authorities, and relevant specialist consultants to obtain current information and to verify the current status of the information contained herein this document.

All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the written permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.



5 October 2023 J:\2022\22012\Planning\VIA HDB Town Planning and Design Aprajita Gupta 22012

## © COPYRIGHT 2023 - HUNTER DEVELOPMENT BROKERAGE PTY LTD

## INTRODUCTION 1.0

#### 1.1 **PROJECT BACKGROUND**

This Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) relates to and supports planning proposals relating to the proposed Weemala Residential Development, located:

Lot 1006 DP 1270101, 128 MUNIBUNG ROAD, BOOLAROO, NSW.

The VIA supports a Statement of Environmental Effects (SEE) that in turn supports the Draft Planning Proposal for rezoning from the current C2 Environmental Conservation Zone of the Lot to the R2 Low Density Residential Zone. An application to amend the zone with respect to part of Lot 1006 DP 1270101 was lodged with Council on 18 October 2021.

As new legislation regarding Planning Proposals has overtaken the previous application, it is considered that the most appropriate and complete path forward would be to update the report to reflect the new leaislation having regard to Council's preliminary advice.

It should be noted that the subject site is part of the remediated area within Lot 1006 currently zoned R2 and is surrounded by an approved residential development on three sides. The current C2 zoning does not reflect its current state, location, and adjoining land uses.

The VIA also supports the modification on behalf of Greencapital Weemala Pty Ltd in regard to DA/1522/2020 and DA/1525/2020 for the development at 128 Munibung Road, Boolaroo. To support the proposed amended lot layout. From the area of proposed rezoning and north modification, a perimeter retaining wall is proposed.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 1.2

The purpose of the rezoning of part of Lot 1006 DP 1270101 is to provide consistency of this area with the remainder of Lot 1006 by:

- Rezoning C2 zoned part of the subject site to R2
- Amending the existing building height from 5.5m to 8.5m.
- Amending the minimum lot size from 40ha to 450m<sup>2</sup>.
- Outline the key supporting studies previously submitted or requested by Council.

The proposal aims to modify the Lake Macquarie Local Environmental Plan 2014 (LMLEP), by amending the zoning of a 1.6-hectare section of the remediated landform - C2 Environmental Conservation zone to R2 Low-Density Residential.

The visual impact study carried out at 128 Munibung Road and on the subject site through a comprehensive field investigation observed that the potential visual effects of the change in zoning and amended layout would be minor to moderate level of impact.

#### 1.3 **OBJECTIVES**

- To identify and describe the existing visual/landscape environment and to evaluate its current gualities.
- To graphically portray the proposal in contextual settings from selected viewpoints.
- To determine the likely impacts development will have on the visual/landscape quality of the area.
- To identify locations where visual access is possible.
- To assess whether the proposed development on the site would have a negative visual impact on the visual quality of the locality.
- To satisfy the guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment identified within the Lake Macqua-- rie City Council Scenic Management Guidelines 2013, this docuemnt aims to achieve the following with respect to the Weemala Development Proposal:
  - Describe the existing landscape and visual Context
  - Identify the visibility and related visual sensitivity of the landscape and any viewpoints
  - Describe likely visual changes
  - Assess the likely landscape and visual impacts
  - Report Illustration (to clarify the visual changes)
  - Summary and conclusion
  - (as indicated in Lake Macquarie City Council Scenic Management Guidelines 2013 PART D)



## METHODOLOGY OF ASSESSMENT 2.0

#### 2.1 **GUIDELINES**

This VIA is based on the guidelines and broad approaches recommended in the following documents and organisations:

- Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (GLVIA) Third Edition (LI/IEMA 2013)
- The Landscape Institute Advice Note 01 (2011) Photography and Photo-montage in Landscape and Visual assessment.
- Lake Macquarie City Council Scenic Management Guidelines 2013

In accordance with GLVIA, the assessment methodology should reflect the specific requirements of the Proposed Development, the landscape context and likely significant effects. The methodology used for this assessment reflects the Proposed rezoning and subsequent development, and further its likely interaction with the existing landscape and visual conditions;

Rezoning the section of Lot 1006 from C2 to R2 and facilitating the addition of approximately 22 \_ dwellings into the existing landscape/townscape and visual context.

Landscape assessment is concerned with changes to the physical landscape in terms of features/elements that may give rise to changes in character. Visual appraisal is concerned with the changes that arise in the composition of available views as a result of changes to the landscape, people's responses to the changes and to the overall effects on visual amenity. The following assessment is based on the best practice guidance listed above, information and data analysis techniques, uses subjective professional judgment and quantifiable factors wherever possible and is based on clearly defined terms (refer to section 9 Glossary). As stated in paragraph 1.20 of the GLVIA:

"The guidance concentrates on principles while also seeking to steer specific approaches where there is a general consensus on methods and techniques. It is not intended to be prescriptive, in that it does not follow a detailed 'recipe' that can be followed in every situation. It is always the primary responsibility of any landscape professional carrying out an assessment to ensure that the approach and methodology adopted are appropriate to the particular circumstances."

This VIA written by HDB Town Planning & Design is considered to use the appropriate methodology for this proposed development.

#### 2.2 VISUAL ASSESSMENT PRINCIPLES

## **VISUAL QUALITY**

Visual augity of an area is essentially an assessment of how viewers may respond to designated scenery. Scenes of high visual quality are those that are valued by a community for the enjoyment and improved amenities that they can create. Conversely, scenes of low visual quality are of little scenic value to the community with a preference that they be changed and improved, often through the introduction of landscape treatments (e.g. screen planting).

As visual quality relates to aesthetics, its assessment is largely subjective. There is evidence to suggest that certain landscapes are continually preferred over others with preferences related to the presence or absence of certain elements. The rating of the visual quality of this study has been based on the following generally accepted conclusions arising from scientific research (DOP, 1988).

- Visual quality increases as relative relief and topographic ruggedness increase.
- Visual quality increases as vegetation pattern variations increase.
- Visual quality increases due to the presence of natural and/or agricultural landscapes.
- Visual quality increases owing to the presence of water forms (without becoming common) and related to water quality and associated activity.
- Visual quality increases with increases in land use compatibility.



## **VISUAL SENSITIVITY**

Another aspect affecting visual assessments is visual sensitivity. This is the estimate of the significance that a change will have on a landscape and on those viewing it. For example, a significant change that is not frequently seen may result in a low visual sensitivity although its impact on a landscape may be high. Its assessment is based on a number of variables such as the number of people affected, viewer access, viewer location including distance from the source, viewer position (i.e., inferior, neutral, superior), the surrounding land use, and degree of change.

Generally, the following principles apply:

- Visual sensitivity decreases and the viewer distance increases.
- Visual sensitivity decreases as the viewing time decreases. ٠
  - Visual sensitivity can also be related to viewer activity (e.g., a person viewing an affected site while en gaged in recreational activities will be more strongly affected by change than someone passing a scene in a car traveling to a desired destination).

Assessment of the sensitivity of visual receptors may be modified through consideration of any particular value or importance attributed by people to available views. For example, some road users may be more sensitive due to a high level of surrounding scenic context, or residents of a particular property may be less sensitive due to a degraded visual setting. Typically, the sensitivity of visual receptors is categorised as very high, high, medium, low or very low. Definitions of these indicative categories as appropriate to this assessment are set out in the table below, the visual receptors identified are judged in accordance with the indicative categories in the Table 2 to determine the magnitude of change:

CATEGORY	D
Very High	Typically a view to or from a heritage / protected References in literature and art/or guidebooks and nation [LEP, DCP, etc]. Views from the main living space of residential pro state designated landscape features with public of Visitors to heritage assets of state importance.
High	View of clear value but may not be formally reco dividual private dwelling or garden. It may also be residents. Local public rights of way and access land. Road value.
Medium	Non-promoted view in any published sources and receptor. People engaged in an outdoor sports w importance or possible road users on main routes
Low	View of clearly lesser value than similar views expe accessible. Road users on minor roads. People at where views of the surrounding landscape may he
Very Low	A view affected by many landscape detractors a other locations where the views of the wider lands

#### DEFINITION

asset. Key protected viewpoint e.g. interpretive signs. nd tourist maps. Protected view in planning policy desig-

operties, state public rights of way e.g. bush trails and access.

pgnised e.g. framed view of high scenic value from an ine inferred that the view is likely to have value e.g. to local

I and rail routes promoted in tourist guides for their scenic

d may be typical of the views experienced from a given where an appreciation of the landscape has little or no s (Motorway/Freeway/Highway) and passengers on trains.

erienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more their place of work or views from commercial buildings nave some importance.

and unlikely to be valued. People at their place of work or scape have little or no importance.

Table 1: Visual Receptor Sensitivity

CATEGORY	DEFINITION
Very High	A substantial change to the baseline, with the proposed development creating a new focus and hav- ing a defining influence on the view. Direct views at close range with changes over a wide horizontal and vertical extent
High	The proposed development will be clearly noticeable and the view would be fundamentally altered by its presence. Direct or oblique views at close range with changes over a noticeable horizontal and or/vertical extent.
Medium	The proposed development will form a new and recognisable element within the view which is likely to be recognised by the receptor. Direct or oblique views at medium range with a moderate horizon- tal and/or vertical extent of the view affected.
Low	The proposed development will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance to be a small component. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical extent of the view affected.
Very Low	Proposed development is minimally noticeable, and the view whilst slightly altered is similar to the baseline situation. Long range views with a negligible part of the view affected

Table 2: Visual Receptor Magnitude of Change

If there is no magnitude of change and the baseline view is unaffected by the development a category of 'no change' will be used.

#### **VISUAL EFFECT**

Visual effect is the interaction between a proposal and the existing visual environment. It is often expressed as the level of visual contrast of the proposal against its setting or background in which it is viewed. This is particularly important should any proposed development extend above the skyline unless, once again, there are particular circumstances that may influence viewer perception and/or visual impact.

Low visual effect occurs when a proposal blends in with its existing viewed landscape due to a high level of integration of one or several of the following: form, shape, pattern, line, texture, or colour. It can also result from the use of effective screening often using a combination of landform and landscaping.

Moderate visual effect results where a proposal noticeably contrasts with its viewed landscape, however, there has been some degree of integration (e.g., good siting principles employed, retention of significant existing vegetation, provision of screen landscaping, careful colour selection and/or appropriately scaled development).

High visual effect results when a proposal presents itself with high visual contrast to its viewed landscape with little or no integration and/or screening.

## **VISUAL IMPACT**

The following *Table 3* illustrates how visual effect and visual sensitivity levels combine to produce varying degrees of visual impact.

It should be noted that a high visual impact does not necessarily equate with a reduction in scenic quality, and the degree of visual impact has to be understood and assessed in relation to both the existing scenic quality of an area and the design merits of the proposal itself. For example, a well-designed proposal with a high visual impact may help to improve the visual environment of an area with low scenic quality.

For each receptor type, the sensitivity of the location is combined with the predicted magnitude of change to determine the level of effect on any particular receptor.

The shaded area considers where the visual effect could be considered moderate or high which indicates a significant impact. However, there may be additional factors to take into account and some visual effects may be subjective due to individual perception and potential external influences. This report exercises flexibility where necessary in assessing the significance of effects and assumes the most probable case scenario unless stated otherwise. The significance of visual impacts is assessed for the proposed development in isolation.



## 2.3 PHOTO-MONTAGES

Sensitivity Receptor

Photos and studies were taken at indicated locations around the subject site as requested by the council. Camera photography in combination with online mapping tools and the Adobe Creative suite has been used to create simulated views of the proposed development. They provide a useful tool for analysing the potential impact from the receptor locations. The viewpoints and photo-montages produced are included within this report for a fair and accurate representation of the visual assessment.

The horizontal field of view (FOV) within the photo-montages exceeds the parameters of normal human vision. Human eye FOV is understood to be approximately 160°, the actual amount of detail in focus decreases towards the outer extent of the FOV. The 'Cone of Visual Attention' of the human eye is approximately 55° however, in reality the eyes, head and body can all move and, under normal conditions, the human brain would 'see' a broad area of landscape within a panoramic view. A single photographic image from a 50mm lens has a horizontal viewing angle of 39.6°. Whilst a photo-montage can provide an image that illustrates a representation of a development in relation to its proposed location and scale relative to the surrounding landscape, it is acknowledged that large scale objects in the landscape can appear smaller in photo-montages than in reality. This is partly due to the fact that a flat image does not allow the viewer to perceive any information relating to depth or distance. An extract taken from the Photography and Photo-montage in LVIA, Landscape Institute Advice Note 01/11 states that:

'it is also important to recognise that two-dimensional photographic images and photo-montages alone cannot capture or reflect the complexity underlying the visual experience and should therefore be considered an approximate of the three-dimensional visual experiences that an observer would receive in the field'.

## 2.4 MODELLING OF THE DEVELOPMENT

Using Trimble SketchUp and Adobe Photoshop, HDB created overlays and photomontages. A 3D model was created using Autodesk Civil 3D to include massing elements of the built forms. This was then imported into Google Earth Pro to generate images. Proposed elements within the CGI's have not been created to a photo-real standard. The photomontages are purely a tool to supplement this visual assessment and are intended to demonstrate a predicted likely visual appearance.

## 2.5 SITE VISIT

Multiple site visits have taken place, the most recent on 19 September 2023 by HDB Planning during which they carried out a site inspection to verify the results of the desktop study and to evaluate the existing visual character of the area. Analysis from inside the site boundary and at vantage points from the surrounding landscape was undertaken to approximate the Zone of Visibility. Photographs taken at eye-level within the site only allow a partial judgment on which residential properties, commercial properties, public open spaces and public rights of way (classed as visual receptors) in the immediate vicinity, may see the development from ground level to the top of the building line. There are limitations due to existing development, topography and surrounding vegetation, therefore, it is not possible to gain a complete understanding of the visual envelope.



of Change							
Medium	Low	Very Low					
ajor/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor					
Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor					
loderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible					
Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible					
linor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None					

Table 3: Visual Impact Estimator

The analysis allows a judgment to be made on which receptors in the wider context will be able to see the subject parts of the development, if not all of the development. Not all residential/commercial properties or public open spaces that potentially would experience a view of the development are shown on the Viewpoint Locations diagram (Figure 4 & Figure 5), as the area is undergoing significant change. However, the locations that have been shown will provide an indication of receptors or potential receptors within the surrounding context that the development that may be most visible. In some cases it is reasonable to assume for example, that a number of properties close to a selected receptor would experience a very similar type of view. I.e. adjacent properties with similar aspect or those one or two streets away.

#### 2.6 SELECTED VIEWPOINTS

The symbols and numbering in Figure 4 & Figure 5, indicate the viewpoints that have been selected for a Visual Impact Assessment (VIA). All viewpoints have been generated from publicly accessible areas. A sample of receptors that are closest in proximity to the proposed development and those with vantage points at higher elevations have been selected. This follows guidance as set out by the Lake Macquarie Scenic Guidelines 2013. It would be impractical to provide a VIA for every single possible visual receiver of the development, therefore a sample has been selected. For visual receptors where individual viewpoint assessment is not possible

(i.e. from inside a private dwelling), a representative view of that location has been assessed in terms of likely significance of visual impact. From viewpoint locations, a development overlay or a photomontage image has been generated to represent as closely as possible, views of the proposed development following construction. Refer to the visual impact assessment in Section 6 of this report and corresponding figures.

#### 2.7 **PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORDING & VISUALISATION**

From desktop study and site visits, locations were identified that would potentially be subject to visual impacts from the proposal. Photographs were taken during on-site visits and applications such as Google Streetview and Near Maps were used from the selected viewpoints looking toward the development site. In addition, a massing model of the site was created using Autodesk Civil 3D. This information was later used to create the overlays and photo-montages, using different combinations. Eye-level photo-montages are intended to be printed at A3 and to be held at a comfortable distance by the viewer, this is generally accepted by current guidelines to be anywhere from 300mm to 500mm away from the eyes and held in a flat projection.

#### 2.8 ASSESSMENT OF VISUAL IMPACT

The subject site is highly disturbed due to the prior remediation activities associated with the former Pasminco remediation program. This involved the removal of the topsoil down to the rock layer, which significantly affects its future viability to support regrowth consistent with the adjoining Munibung Reserve. The site will be adjoined on the west, south and north by residential development associated with the Weemala Project, which has been designed to enable the future development of the current C2 land for residential purposes. Some commercial development including a Costco warehouse has been located west of the subject site. Industry and commercial facilities are located to the north of the site and significant housing development has already taken place to the south of the site. Older housing development is located to the east. Some of the building work limits the view corridors toward the subject site. Therefore, this report is predominately focused on demonstrating that the subject site is not highly visible from potential residential receptors and is only predominately visible to less sensitive receptors (motorists and occasional pedestrians). These receptors are those that would experience views immediately adjacent to the subject site. of the development, it is assumed that they will generally share a similar type of view and visual impact. As the majority of your site is currently in a phase of construction or remedial works, it has been unfeasible to take photographs from multiple locations within the immediate vicinity of the subject site.

Other potential visual receptors have also been considered and assessed. In total 13 physical viewpoint locations have been selected for assessment, visited and photographed.

Refer to Section 5 for a detailed visual impact assessment from the receptors.

## THE SITE AND ENVIRONS 3.0

## LOCATION

3.1

Local Government:Lake Macquarie City CouncilLocality:BoolarooArea of site:1.6 ha (approximately)Zone:C2 – Environmental Conservation a	nd R2 -



#### 3.2 SITE DESCRIPTION

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SITE DESCRIPTION	Part Lot 1006 DP 1270101 128 Munibung Road, Boolaroo NSW
APPLICANT DETAILS	Greencapital Weemala Unit Trust C/- HDB Town Planning & Design PO Box 40, MAITLAND NSW 2320
CONTACT DETAILS	Aprajita Gupta HDB Town Planning & Design, PO Box 40, MAITLANI E: Aprajita@hdb.com.au T: 02 4933 6682
OWNERSHIP DETAILS	The property is owned by: GREENCAPITAL WEEMALA PTY LTD



Road, Boolaroo NSW

- Low-Density Residential

Figure 1: Site Location

D NSW 2320



# 4.1 PLANNING CONTEXT

The development of Lot 1006 is in alignment with the objectives of the following regional and sub-regional strategies:

LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY - 2006-31 (LHRS)

HUNTER REGIONAL PLAN 2036

4.0

GREATER NEWCASTLE METROPOLITAN PLAN 2036

SHAPING THE FUTURE – LAKE MACQUARIE CITY LOCAL STRATEGIC PLANNING STATEMENT (LSPS) LET'S THRIVE – LAKE MACQUARIE CITY HOUSING STRATEGY (LMCHS) 2020 IMAGINE LAKE MAC STRATEGY (ILMS)

LAKE MACQUARIE DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN 2014 – PASMINCO AREA PLAN PART 12



The 1.6-hectare section of the remediated Pasminco land that makes up the subject site is currently designated as C2 Environmental Conservation zone indicated in *Figure 3* above.

## 4.2 LANDSCAPE CHARACTER

As can be seen by using aerial digital mapping, the subject site is located in Boolaroo, close to the existing and proposed employment generation area and the emerging strategic center of Glendale-Cardiff, proposed Lake Macquarie Transport Interchange, and recently approved business/retail premises Costco. The subject site and location of the retaining wall (as shown in Figure 3 above) are surrounded by R2: Low-Density Residential Zone on three sides, the remaining side is a C2 Environmental Conservation zone. As mentioned earlier, the site has been fully remediated, and therefore, is now devoid of any flora and fauna significance.

#### Figure 2: Proposed Layout f amendment to

Figure 2 above depicts the envisioned development of Lot 1006, subject to the approval of amendment to DA/1522/2020 and DA/1525/2020, and rezoning of C2 - Environmental Conservation zoned land into R2 - Low Density Residential. The rezoning will facilitate the addition of approximately 22 dwellings into the existing/approved township of Lot 1006.

This site has been fully remediated by the removal of all topsoil which is in accordance with the approved remediation plan. While it adjoins Munibung Hill Reserve, it has been subdivided off the lot containing the Reserve and now sits as part of the residential area. The significant disturbance to this lot significantly reduces its potential for environmental use. There are significant signs of erosion over the site due to the steep slope.

The area proposed for rezoning sits as part of a grid road layout with roads planned for the southern, eastern, and western sides. These roads in turn link to Fotheringham Road, which in turn connects to Main Road. The surrounding development has been constructed in accordance with Council standards. The retaining wall modifications exist along the Perimeter Road, a requirement due to the slope at the site. The site is adjoined by residential (under construction) on its southern, northern and western sides. It adjoins Munibung Hill Reserve to the east. Further to the west is the Costco development together with IKEA land to be used for high density employment generation uses. The site is also in close proximity to the industrial commercial precinct to the north and the rail station to the west.

By using the summary of land use above, it is apparent that potentially the most sensitive visual receivers of the development are likely to be located to the west within the proposed residential suburbs.



# **BASELINE DESCRIPTION**

Figure 3: Land Use Plan

## 5.0 **VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

#### 5.1 **VIEWPOINT LOCATIONS**

The symbols and numbering in Figure 4, indicate the viewpoints that have been selected for a Visual Impact Assessment (VIA). All viewpoints have been generated from publicly accessible areas and have been directed by the Lake Macquarie City Council Scenic Management Guidelines 2013.





Visual Impact Assessment – WEEMALA RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT Report No: 22012\_VIA\_230315

Figure 4: Viewpoint Locations Source: HDB Town Planning and Design



VP#	Address	Approx distance to subject Site (m)
VP 1	Adjacent to Munibung Road	740
VP 2	Adjacent to Cressy Road	630
VP 3	Adjacent to Munibung Road	820
VP 4	Adjacent to proposed Escher Street	510
VP 5	Adjacent to proposed Fotheringham Road	280
VP 6	Adjacent to proposed Fotheringham Road	225
VP 7	Adjacent to B53	1220
VP 8	Adjacent to proposed Fotheringham Road	240
VP 9	Adjacent to Edge Street	550
VP 10	Adjacent to First Street	610
VP 11	Adjacent to First and Second Street	670
VP 12	Adjacent to Main Road	1005
VP 13	Adjacent to round- about intersection at Minmi Road and North- lakes Drive (Viewpoint 13 location is shown on Page 20)	4150

Source: HDB Town Planning and Design

5.2	VIEW	POINT 1				
VIEWIN	G LOCATION	1				
GPS		3	2:56:35.38:S, 151:3	7:35.78:E		
ELEVAT	ION	2	3.000 RL			
VISUAL	DESCRIPTIO	N				
APPRO	X. VIEWING I	DISTANCE 7	40m			
DESCRIPTION		р		tre of the propose	Western boundary o ed development. It ed in Figure 4.	
			is intended to rep xtent pedestrians		eptors such as moto ble directions.	prists and to a lesse
VISUAL	SENSITIVITY				ists at this location c ations, they are unlik	
		Fi b c fr	e partially screene ontainment cell. I om the adjoining	the proposed R2: ed from the adjoin The western exten properties. It is co	Low Density Reside ning residential dev t of the subject site onsidered the visual e visual effect of the	velopment and the may not be visible sensitivity of the
Low	accessib	clearly lesser value ble. Road users on r	than similar views e	xperienced from ne at their place of wo	earby visual receptors ork or views from com	that may be more
MAGNI	TUDE OF CH	n Ti o p	isable element wi here will be a notion f the view, howev	thin the view whic ceable change ir er this would not 1 ment. Proposed 10	ential Zone will form ch will be recognise in the horizontal and be out of characte andscaping and ve elopment.	ed by the receptor. I vertical extent or with rest of the
Me	to b	be recognised by th		or oblique views at	e element within the medium range with a	
SIGNIFI	CANCE OF V	ISUAL IMPACT:			t this location is judg	ged to be <b>MINOR</b>
		Very High	Magni High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Sensitivity Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor
/ Rec				Moderate		
	l High	Major	Major/Moderate	Moderare	Moderate/ Minor	Minor
ittivith	High Medium	Major Major/ Moderate	Major/ Moderate Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Moderate/ Minor Minor	Minor Minor/ Negligible

Negligible



Very Low

Moderate/ Minor

Minor

Minor/ Negligible

Negligible/ None



Existing Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, April 2023



Photo-montage Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

PAGE 9

53	VIE	WPOINT 2				
VIEWIN	G LOCATIO	DN .				
GPS			32:56:40.93:S, 151:3	7:37.32:E		
ELEVATI	ON	2	23.000 RL			
VISUAL	DESCRIPTIC	NC				
APPROX	X. VIEWING	G DISTANCE	530m			
DESCRII	PTION				Road towards the oposed residential o	
			t is intended to rep extent pedestrians		eptors such as moto ble directions.	prists and to a lesse
VISUAL	SENSITIVITY	- \	As visual receptors are mostly motorists at this location and traveling to- wards warehouse and industrial locations, they are unlikely to place any significant value on the baseline view.			
		k † (	be screened predo ion. Due to the res	ominantly by cont idential developn	Low Density Reside tainment cell and e nent to the western site from this point	existing vegeata- boundary of the
Low	Low View of clearly lesser value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more accessible. Road users on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings where views of the surrounding landscape may have some importance.					
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE			hisable element wi here will be a notion he view, however, proposed develop provide some scree	thin the view which ceable change in this would not be ment. Proposed lo ening of the deve	ential Zone will form ch will be recognise in the horizontal anc e out of character v andscaping and ve elopment. e element within the	ed by the receptor. I vertical extent of with the rest of the egetation would
	to to	b be recognised by t al and/or vertical ex	he receptor. Direct of the view affection of	or oblique views at cted.	medium range with c	n moderate horizon-
SIGNIFI	CANCE OF	VISUAL IMPACT:	The significance of	of visual impact a	t this location is jud	ged to be <b>MINOR</b>
Magnitude of Change				1		
otor		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
Sensitivity Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor
ity R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor
nsitiv	Medium	Major/ Moderate		Moderate/ Minor		Minor/ Negligible
Se	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None





Existing Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, April 2023



Photo-montage Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

5.4 VIEWPOINT	3	
VIEWING LOCATION		
GPS	32:56:20.97:S, 151:37:56:20:E	
ELEVATION	23.000 RL	
		DRODOUED CO. DEZONINIC
VISUAL DESCRIPTION		PROPOSED C2 REZONING
APPROX. VIEWING DISTANC	CE 820m	ALLER PROPERTY AND A REAL
DESCRIPTION	The photograph is taken from the northern boundary of the site in front of the adjoining residential development located on the other side of Munibung Road.	
	It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and to a lesser extent pedestrians traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	As visual receptors are mostly motorists at this location and traveling to- wards warehouse and industrial locations, they are unlikely to place any significant value on the baseline view.	
	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened completely from the adjoining development. The subject site may not be visible from the adjoining property.	
accessible. Road	sser value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more users on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings e surrounding landscape may have some importance.	APPROXIMATE SI
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and recog- nisable element only within the available view to the receptor. The development would be mostly screened by the rest of the proposed development. Proposed landscaping and vegetation would provide some screening of the development.	
to be a small	d development will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance I component. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical e view affected.	

## **SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:** The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be **MINOR**

	Magnitude of Change								
۲.		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low			
Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor			
ty R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor			
sitivi	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible			
Sensi	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible			
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None			







Source: Google Streetview c2023

Massing Model Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, April 2023

5.5 VIEWPOINT 4		
VIEWING LOCATION		
GPS	32:56:30.69:S, 151:37:57.11:E	PROPOSED C2 REZONING
ELEVATION	28.65 RL	PROPOSED C2 REZONING
		The second s
VISUAL DESCRIPTION		
APPROX. VIEWING DISTANCE	510m	Carlos Andres Times
DESCRIPTION	The photograph is taken from the northern section of the site facing the proposed adjoining residential development and the C2 land.	
	It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	Residential visual receptors are usually more critical regarding their view, however, the proposed properties located along the Road are situated within a large proposed residential precinct. Their views will be heavily affected by the residential use of land in close proximity to them. From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would	
	be screened predominantly by the adjoining development, the potential visibility of the site from this point is considered as low.	
accessible. Road use	value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more rs on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings prrounding landscape may have some importance.	APPROXIMATE SITE
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and rec- ognisable element within the view which will surround the receptor. There	

The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and recognisable element within the view which will surround the receptor. There is a possibility that a small number of any two story properties along the proposed road would see the very top of the roof lines in the proposed new zone, however, this would form a barely noticeable component of the view and would in essence be very similar to the existing view currently experienced.

Low The proposed development will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance to be a small component. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical extent of the view affected.

**SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:** The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be **MINOR** 

	Magnitude of Change									
ptor		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low				
Recept	Very High Substantial		Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor				
>	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor				
sitivit	Medium Major/ Moderate		Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible				
Sen	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible				
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None				







Source: Google Streetview c2020

Massing Model Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, April 2023

5.6	VIEWPOINT 5		
VIEWING L	OCATION		PROPOSED C2 REZONING
GPS		32:56:46.39:S, 151:37:50.07:E	A CONTRACTOR OF A
ELEVATION	1	28.65 RL	
ISUAL DES	SCRIPTION		
APPROX. V	IEWING DISTANCE	280m	COLOR MANAGER AND
DESCRIPTIC	ИС	The photograph is taken from the proposed location of Fotheringham Road in the proposed northern development.	
		It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY		From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened by the adjoining approved development. It is considered that the visual sensitivity of the view point is relatively high due to the close proximity of the subject site to the view point. Residential visual receptors are usually more critical regarding their view and the proposed properties are located within a large proposed residential precinct. Their views will be impacted by the residential land use surrounding them.	
Medium	receptor. People engo	any published sources and may be typical of the views experienced from a given aged in an outdoor sports where an appreciation of the landscape has little or no e road users on main routes (Motorway/Freeway/Highway) and passengers on trains.	
MAGNITUE	DE OF CHANGE	The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and rec- ognisable element within the view which will surround the receptor. There is a possibility that a small number of properties along the proposed road would see the very top of the roof lines in the proposed new zone, howev- er, this would form a barely noticeable component of the view and would in essence be very similar to the existing view currently experienced.	APPROXIMATE SITE
Low		velopment will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance nponent. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical	

	Magnitude of Change									
P.		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low				
Recept	Very High Substantial		Major Major/Moderate		Moderate	Moderate/ Minor				
₹	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor				
sitivi	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible				
Sen	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible				
	Very Low	ery Low Moderate/ Minor Minor		Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None				







Source: HDB Planning, September 2023

Photo-montage massing model. Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

5.7	VIEWPOINT 6	
VIEWING LO	OCATION	
GPS		32:56:44.16:S, 151:37:52.75:E
ELEVATION		28.65 RL
VISUAL DES	CRIPTION	
APPROX. V	IEWING DISTANCE	225m
DESCRIPTIC	DN	The photograph is taken from the north-western section of the site facing the proposed adjoining residential development and the C2 land.
		It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.
VISUAL SEN	ISITIVITY	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened by the adjoining approved development. It is considered that the visual sensitivity of the view point is relatively high due to the close proximity of the subject site to the view point. Residential visual receptors are usually more critical regarding their view and the proposed properties are located within a large proposed residential precinct. Their views will be impacted by the residential land use surrounding them.
Medium	receptor. People eng	n any published sources and may be typical of the views experienced from a given gaged in an outdoor sports where an appreciation of the landscape has little or no le road users on main routes (Motorway/Freeway/Highway) and passengers on trains.
MAGNITUD	E OF CHANGE	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and recognisable element within the view which will surround the receptor. It would be screened by the adjoining approved development and the propsoed landscaping and would part of the existing view cur- rently experienced by the viewers.
Medium		opment will form a new and recognisable element within the view which is likely to be ceptor. Direct or oblique views at medium range with a moderate horizontal and/or view affected.

## **SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:** The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be **MODERATE**

	Magnitude of Change									
or	Very High		High Medium		Low	Very Low				
Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor				
₽	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor				
Sensitivi	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible				
Sen	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible				
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None				







Existing Site Source: HDB Planning, September 2023

Photo-montage Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

5.8 VIEWPOINT	7
VIEWING LOCATION	
GPS	32:56:48.68:S, 151:37:16.16:E
ELEVATION	28.00 RL
VISUAL DESCRIPTION	
APPROX. VIEWING DISTANCE	E 1220 m
DESCRIPTION	The photograph is taken from T C Frith Avenue behind an adjacent resi- dential development. It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.
ISUAL SENSITIVITY	As visual receptors are mostly motorists at this location and traveling to- wards warehouse and industrial locations, they are unlikely to place any significant value on the distant view.
	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be partially screened from the adjoining residential development and many parts of the subject site may not be visible from the adjoining prop- erties.
accessible. Road u	er value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more users on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings surrounding landscape may have some importance.
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and recog- nisable element only within the available view to the receptor. There may be some change in the horizontal and vertical extent of the view, however this would be mostly screened by the rest of the proposed development. Proposed landscaping and vegetation would provide some screening of the development.
	elopment will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance to be t. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical extent of the

## SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT: The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be MINOR

	Magnitude of Change									
o		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low				
Receptor	Very High Substantial		Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor				
	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor				
sitivity	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible				
Sen	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible				
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None				



hdb



Source: HDB Planning, September 2023

Photo-montage Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

5.9 VIEWPOINT 8	}	
VIEWING LOCATION		
GPS	32:56:40.51:S, 151:37:56.20:E	
ELEVATION	28.65 RL	PROPOSED C2 REZ
VISUAL DESCRIPTION		
APPROX. VIEWING DISTANCE	240m	
DESCRIPTION	The photograph is taken from Fotheringham Road and facing the pro- posed adjoining residential development and the C2 land.	
	It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	Residential visual receptors are usually more critical regarding their view, however, the proposed properties located along the road are situated within large proposed residential precinct. Their views will be heavily affected by the residential use of land in close proximity to them. Due to the residential development to the western boundary of the C2 land, the potential visibility of the site from this point is considered as low.	
accessible. Road us	r value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more ers on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings surrounding landscape may have some importance.	
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened completely by the adjoining development and proposed dwellings. Overall, the proposed rezoning land viewed from this vantage point would be barely perceptible and would result in minor deterioration of the existing view.	APPROXIMATE SITE LOCATION (NOT VISIBLE
	development will be a minor part of the view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance omponent. Oblique views at medium or long range with a small horizontal/vertical ew affected.	
	ACT: The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be <b>MINOR</b>	
	Magnitude of Change	

	Magnitude of Change									
ŗ		Very High	High Medium		Low	Very Low				
Receptor	Very High Substantial		Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor				
ity R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor				
sitivi	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible				
Sensiti	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible				
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None				





Existing Site Source: HDB Planning, September 2023



Massing Model Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

5.10	VIEW	POINT 9					5.11	VIEV	VPOINT 10		
VIEWIN	G LOCATIOI	N					VIEWIN	G LOCATIO	N		
GPS	32:56:31.05:S, 151:38:08.98:E				GPS		3	2:57:02.26:S, 151:4	2.92:E		
VISUAL	DESCRIPTIO	N					VISUAL	DESCRIPTIO	N		
APPRO	X. VIEWING	DISTANCE 5	50 m				APPRO	X. VIEWING	DISTANCE 6	10 m	
DESCRI	PTION					d to represent visual multiple directions.	DESCRI	PTION		he photograph is ng residential deve	
VISUAL	SENSITIVITY	b			Low Density Reside Ig location due to	ential Zone would the rise of the land				is intended to rep Ins traveling in mu	
		riew affected by m rk or other location F IANGE k	any landscape detri is where the views o rom this location,	f the wider landscap the proposed R2:	to be valued. People be have little or no in Low Density Reside ng location due to	nportance.	VISUAL	SENSITIVITY	h d o	esidential visual re owever, the prop ential precinct. Th f land in close pro	osed proper neir views will eximity to the
Ver		posed developme	nt is minimally notice	eable, and the view a negligible part of	whilst slightly altered	d is similar to the				rom this location, e screened predo	
	pu						Low			andscape detracto riews of the wider la	
							MAGNI	TUDE OF CH	b d p	rom this location, be screened comp lwellings. Overall, boint would be ba ne existing view.	oletely by the the propose
							Low		component. Obliqu	nt will be a minor pa ve views at medium	
				A State of	Source: HDB Pl	anning, September 2023	SIGNIFI	ICANCE OF	VISUAL IMPACT:	The significance o	f visual impac
SIGNIF	ICANCE OF	VISUAL IMPACT	The significance of	f visual impact at thi	s location is judged t	to be <b>NONE</b>				Magni	tude of Chang
			Magni	tude of Change			r		Very High	High	Medium
tor		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Mod
eceptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	y Rec	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderat

		Magnilude of Change									
for		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low					
Recept	Very High Substantial		Major Major/ Moderate		Moderate	Moderate/ Minor					
ity R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor					
sitivi	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible					
Sen	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible					
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None					

Visual Impact Assessment – WEEMALA RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT Report No: 22012\_VIA\_230315

Sensitivity

Medium

Low

Very Low

Major/ Moderate

Moderate

Moderate/Minor

Moderate

Moderate/Minor

Minor

om First Street, and facing the proposed adjoinnt and the C2 land.

visual receptors such as motorists and pedestrirections.

s are usually more critical regarding their view, operties are situated within large proposed resivs will be heavily affected by the residential use o them.

bosed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would ly by the adjoining development.

nlikely to be valued. People at their place of work or e have little or no importance.

posed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would by the adjoining development and proposed posed rezoning land viewed from this vantage ceptible and would result in minor change of

view, partially visible or at a sufficient distance to be range with a small horizontal/vertical extent of the

#### npact at this location is judged to be **MINOR**

ude of Change							
Medium	Low	Very Low					
Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor					
Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor					
Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible					
Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible					
Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None					





Photo-montage Source: HDB Town Planning and Design



Massing Model Source: HDB Town Planning and Design





#### SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT: The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be MINOR

	Magnitude of Change								
Sensitivity Receptor		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low			
	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor			
	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor			
	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible			
	Low	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible			
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None			



Visual Impact Assessment - WEEMALA RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT Report No: 22012\_VIA\_230315

The photograph is taken from Milburn Circuit, and facing the proposed adjoining residential development and the C2 land. It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestrians traveling in multiple direc-

From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened predominantly by the adjoining development.

The proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone will form a new and recognisable element within the view which will surround the receptor. However, it will be blocked the existing proposed residential developments of the surrounding.

Existing Site Source: HDB Planning, Septmber 2023

5.13 VIEWPOINT 1	2	
VIEWING LOCATION		
GPS	32:56:54.54:S, 151:37:24.47:E	
ELEVATION	28.00 RL	
VISUAL DESCRIPTION		PROPOSED C2 REZONING
APPROX. VIEWING DISTANCE	1005m	A Share and a share a s
DESCRIPTION	The photograph is taken from Main Road facing the proposed adjoining residential development and the C2 land.	
	It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestri- ans traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY	As visual receptors are mostly motorists at this location and traveling to- wards warehouse and industrial locations, they are unlikely to place any significant value on the baseline view.	
	Due to the residential development to the western boundary of the C2 land, the potential visibility of the site from this point is considered as low.	
accessible. Road use	r value than similar views experienced from nearby visual receptors that may be more ers on minor roads. People at their place of work or views from commercial buildings urrounding landscape may have some importance.	
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE	From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be screened completely by the adjoining development and proposed dwellings. However, the long views of scattered trees on the elevated horizon bring interest to the otherwise barren lands. Overall, the proposed rezoning land viewed from this vantage point would be barely percepti- ble and would result in minor deterioration of the existing view.	APPROXIMATE SITE LOCATION
to be recognise	development will form a new and recognisable element within the view which is likely ed by the receptor. Direct or oblique views at medium range with a moderate horizon- cal extent of the view affected.	

## **SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:** The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be **MINOR**

	Magnitude of Change								
2		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low			
Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor			
Sensitivity Re	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor			
	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/Minor Minor		Minor/ Negligible			
	Low Moderate		Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible			
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None			







Existing Site Source: HDB Planning, September 2023

Massing Model Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, April 2023

#### 5.14 **VIEWPOINT 13**

#### **VIEWING LOCATION**

GPS

32:54:40.07:S, 151:3722.17:E

## **VISUAL DESCRIPTION**

APPROX. VIEWING DISTANCE		4150m	
DESCRIPTION		The photograph is taken on Minmi Road adjacent to the roundabout tha intersects Minmi Road and Northlakes Drive at Edgeworth. It is intended to represent visual receptors such as motorists and pedestrians traveling in multiple directions.	
VISUAL SENSITIVITY		From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be completely screened from viewing location due to the rise of the land and vegetation.	
Very Low		many landscape detractors and unlikely to be valued. People at their place of ons where the views of the wider landscape have little or no importance.	
MAGNITUDE OF CHANGE		From this location, the proposed R2: Low Density Residential Zone would be completely screened from viewing location due to the rise of the land and vegetation.	
		ment is minimally noticeable, and the view whilst slightly altered is similar to the ong range views with a negligible part of the view affected	

## VIEWPOINT 13 LOCATION



Figure 5 : Viewpoint 13 Location Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023





## SIG

<b>IGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:</b> The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be <b>NONE</b>							
			Mag	nitude of Change			
۶.		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low	
Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	
Sensitivity R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	
	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	
	Low	Low Moderate Mode		Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None	

Existing Site Source: HDB Town Planning and Design, September 2023

# 6.0 OVERALL POTENTIAL VISUAL IMPACT

The *Table 5* below maps the results of the visual impact assessment in relation to the viewpoints considered and the results proposed through each table of the significance of visual impact. This assessment is understood and assessed in relation to both the existing scenic quality of an area and the design merits of the proposal itself.

	Magnitude of Change								
ō		Very High	High	Medium	Low	Very Low			
Receptor	Very High	Substantial	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor			
Sensitivity R	High	Major	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor			
	Medium	Major/ Moderate	Moderate	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible			
	Low	Low Moderate		Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible			
	Very Low	Moderate/ Minor	Minor	Minor/ Negligible	Negligible	Negligible/ None			

Table 5: Overall Potential Visual Impact Source: HDB Town Planning and Design

#### **SIGNIFICANCE OF VISUAL IMPACT:** The significance of visual impact at this location is judged to be **MODERATE / MINOR**

The objective of the Visual Impact Assessment is not to determine whether the proposal is visible or not, but to determine how the proposal will impact existing visual amenity and the existing landscape character of the surrounding area. If there is potential for a negative impact on these factors, it must then be investigated if and how this impact can be mitigated to the extent that the impact is reduced to an acceptable level. The existing landscape character of the subject site is predominantly barren with scattered shrubs. However, given that the land towards the North, South and West are approved residential dwellings, the site is barely visible from surrounding roads.

Although the area of the proposed re-zoning is large, locations from which the proposal would be visible are minimal. Views from surrounding residences are likely to be limited. Due to the viewing distance from publicly accessible roads- Munibung Road and Main Road, the subject site is likely to be hidden due to the upcoming surrounding residential developments.

Canopy trees have been proposed to the north, south and west of the lot boundary as part of the proposed developments which reduce the visual impact of the subject site.

Therefore, from this assessment, it is considered the proposed rezoning from C2: Environmental Conservation Zones to R2: Low Density Residential Zone, and modification to DA/1522/2020 and DA1525/2020 could be undertaken with negligible impact on the surrounding landscape and will have an overall moderate/ minor visual impact on the surrounding landscape.

# 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

This Visual Impact Assessment (VIA) is to support development proposals relating to the Weemala Residential Development.

The report was written to provide the following, as part of a response to development submissions in relation to Weemala projects:

- An assessment and description of both the existing and proposed views of the representative viewpoints utilising qulitative and quantitative criteria and;

- A recording of an overall visual impact rating for each viewpoint based on best practice guidelines.

The above is supported by on-site analysis, desktop studies, site photography, development overlays and photomontages of the proposal.

Potential visual impacts have been assessed for the selected number of viewpoints in close proximity to the site, these have been selected in reference to the Lake Macquarie Scenic Management Guidelines 2013, specifically Part D.

Overall the proposed development is screened from view due to neighbouring structures or the topography of the land or/ and the development is part of the broader view of residential development proposed and built in the locale. For the small number of these locations that do experience more significant views of the development, only minimal visual impacts would be received due to the historic treatment of the proposed development zone and neighbouring development activities. These include recent residential developments on a higher location than the proposed Weemala development.

As described in the methodology section of this report (section 2.0) it would be unfeasible to provide a visual impact assessment for every individual residential property or publically accessible location that may experience a view of the proposed development. However, from the analysis conducted within this report, it is clear that the areas surrounding the development would experience a moderate/ minor impact on their surrounding landscape.



# 8.0 GLOSSARY OF TERMS

GLVIA	Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (UK Landscape Institute)
LVIA	Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
VIA	Visual Impact Assessment
DIPE	Department of Planning Industry & Environment
LEP	Local Environment Plan
DCP	Development Control Plan
Baseline	The existing current condition / character of the landscape or view
Landscape Receptor	The landscape of the development site
Landscape Sensitivity	How sensitive a particular landscape is to change and its ability to accept the development proposals.
Visual Receptor	A group or user experiencing views of the development from a particular location
Visual Sensitivity	The degree to which a particular view can accommodate change arising from a particular development, without detr
Viewing Distance	The distance from the point of projection to the image plane to reproduce correct linear perspective.
Magnitude of Change	The magnitude of the change to a landscape receptor or visual receptor
Significance of Impact	How significant an impact is for a landscape or visual receptor
0	



etrimental effects.

PAGE 22



D	<b> /</b>	

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIAL	APP.
Н	04/10/23	PERIMETER WALL	R.F.	R.F.
G	15/08/23	ROAD DETAILS	R.F.	R.F.
F	15/06/23	LOT LAYOUT	R.F.	R.F.
E	13/04/23	VICAT ST	R.F.	R.F.
D	03/02/23	LOT AREAS	R.F.	R.F.
С	06/06/22	CROSS SECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.
В	24/05/22	LONGSECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.
А	23/05/22	DA ISSUE	S.S.	R.F.



# PROPOSED REZONING OF PART OF LOT 1006 DP 1270101 128 MUNIBUNG ROAD BOOLAROO

LOCALITY PLAN

DRAWING SCHEDULE					
NUMBER	TITLE	SCALE			
1	COVER SHEET	N.T.S			
2	OVERALL PLAN	1:2500			
3	DETAIL PLAN	1:500			
4	VICAT STREET	AS NOTED			
5	FOOT PATH & CRIB WALL - VICAT TO BISHOP	AS NOTED			
6	BISHOP STREET	AS NOTED			
7	BISHOP STREET	AS NOTED			
8	GRILLO STREET	AS NOTED			
9	MACKENZIE PARADE	AS NOTED			
10	DWIGHT STREET	AS NOTED			



BROKERAGE PTY LTD All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the written permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

© COPYRIGHT 2021 - HUNTER DEVELOPMENT

	PRELIMINARY ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION	PROJECT:
SCALE:	N.T.S	PROP
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PA
SURVEY:	-	
DATUM.:	AHD	128
CONTOUR :	_	

POSED REZONING OF ART OF LOT 1006	OVERALL PLAN					
DP 1270101	HDB Job No:	22012	Sheet:		REV:	
3 MUNIBUNG ROAD	DRAWING NO:	22012(RZ)		1	Ш	
BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL				







LONGITUDINAL SECTION CL Vicat Street Ch 300.000 to Ch 400.000

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:500 @ A1 & 1:1000 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



2	1	0	2	4	6	8	10
	S	CALE IN M	ETRES ON ORIGI	NAL DRAWIN	G AT REDUCTIO	N RATIO 1:100	
10	5	0	10	20	30	40	50
	S	CALE IN M	ETRES ON ORIGI	NAL DRAWIN	G AT REDUCTIO	N RATIO 1:500	

REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIAL	APP.	
Н	04/10/23	PERIMETER WALL	R.F.	R.F.	
G	15/08/23	ROAD DETAILS	R.F.	R.F.	
F	15/06/23	LOT LAYOUT	R.F.	R.F.	
E	13/04/23	VICAT ST	R.F.	R.F.	
D	03/02/23	LOT AREAS	R.F.	R.F.	
С	06/06/22	CROSS SECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
В	24/05/22	LONGSECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
А	23/05/22	DA ISSUE	S.S.	R.F.	

				ī	
		K&G			15%
	4%		-3%	-3%	1570
RL68.8m					
HEIGHT DIFFERENCE	-2.157	-3.412 -3.644		-4.538	
DESIGN SURFACE	70.943	70.780 70.670		70.814	
EXISTING SURFACE	73.100	74.193 74.314		75.352	
OFFSET	- 9.50	-5.28		0.00	

Ch 400.00 m CL Vicat Street HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



# Ch 360.00 m CL Vicat Street

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



© Copyright 2021 - Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this locument in part or whole and/or use without the writte permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to lunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

	PRELIMINARY Issued for discussion	
SCALE:	AS NOTED	PROP
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PA
SURVEY:	_	
DATUM.:	AHD	128
CONTOUR :		





POSED REZONING OF ART OF LOT 1006 DP 1270101 DR/ 3 MUNIBUNG ROAD CLIE BOOLAROO

TITLE:

	VICAT ST	REET		
DB Job No:	22012	Sheet:	REV:	
RAWING NO:	22012(RZ)	Λ		
JENT : GREE	N CAPITAL			





# CL Footpath link Vicat Street to Bishop Street Ch 400.00 to Ch 440.000

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:500 @ A1 & 1:1000 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3

		DECODIDITION			1
REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIAL	APP.	
Н	04/10/23	PERIMETER WALL	R.F.	R.F.	
G	15/08/23	ROAD DETAILS	R.F.	R.F.	
F	15/06/23	LOT LAYOUT	R.F.	R.F.	
Е	13/04/23	VICAT ST	R.F.	R.F.	
D	03/02/23	LOT AREAS	R.F.	R.F.	
С	06/06/22	CROSS SECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
В	24/05/22	LONGSECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
А	23/05/22	DA ISSUE	S.S.	R.F.	

A1

2	1	0	2	4	6	8	10
	SC	CALE IN ME	TRES ON ORIGI	NAL DRAWIN	G AT REDUCTIO	N RATIO 1:100	
10	5	0	10	20	30	40	50
	sc	CALE IN ME	TRES ON ORIGI	NAL DRAWIN	G AT REDUCTIO	N RATIO 1:500	



#### EXTENT OF PERIMETER WALL FOR C2 72.553 70 न 5.000 11.000% 18.781% 9.250% -14.023% 10.581% 10.036% RL 57.000 -0.784 -5.486 -5.570 -5.676 -5.662 -5.599 -5.458 :5.444 -3.982 645 DEPTH OF CUT/FILL 70.016 70.302 67.960 68.104 .038 406 406 406 402 402 .402 .869 69.693 467 TOE OF <del>7</del>1. 69. 5 WALL/BATTER 🕉 68.74 **68.64** 77.52 77.87 78.08 78.07 78.00 77.66 77.31 00 **£ 75.34** 13 14 ŝ EXISTING 74. **7**4. 76. £6 SURFACE 40.000 42.700 20.000 20.765 85.520 90.000 60.000 62.627 64.713 64.713 65.127 65.127 70.000 CHAINAGE 50 80

# Retaining Wall- Vicat Street to Bishop Street Ch 360 to Ch 460

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:500 @ A1 & 1:1000 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3

© COPYRIGHT 2021 - HUNTER DEVELOPMENT BROKERAGE PTY LTD PRELIMINARY PROJECT: hdb All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty SCALE: AS NOTED Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the DESIGNED: T.O. Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this locument in part or whole and/or use without the writte TownPlanning & Design permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only URVEY: \_\_\_ be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the DATUM.: AHD P: (02) 4933 6682 F: (02) 4933 6683 commission. Any reference to the document must include E: admin@hdb.com.au W: www.hdb.com.au the document in its entirety and also include reference to CONTOUR : ABN 35 078 017 508 unter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.









# Ch 440.00 m CL Bishop Street

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



© COPYRIGHT 2021 - HUNTER DEVELOPMENT BROKERAGE PTY LTD All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the written permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

	PRELIMINARY ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION		TITLE:				
SCALE:	AS NOTED	PROPOSED REZONING OF		BISHOP S	TREET		
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PART OF LOT 1006	HDB Job No:	00040	Sheet:	REV:	
SURVEY:	_	DP 1270101	DRAWING NO:	22012			
DATUM.:	AHD	128 MUNIBUNG ROAD		22012(RZ)	h h		
CONTOUR :	_	BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL			]



REV.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	INITIAL	APP.	
Н	04/10/23	PERIMETER WALL	R.F.	R.F.	
G	15/08/23	ROAD DETAILS	R.F.	R.F.	
F	15/06/23	LOT LAYOUT	R.F.	R.F.	
E	13/04/23	VICAT ST	R.F.	R.F.	
D	03/02/23	LOT AREAS	R.F.	R.F.	
С	06/06/22	CROSS SECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
В	24/05/22	LONGSECTIONS	S.S.	R.F.	
А	23/05/22	DA ISSUE	S.S.	R.F.	

10 2 1 0 6 8 SCALE IN METRES ON ORIGINAL DRAWING AT REDUCTION RATIO 1:100 10 5 0 10 20 30 40 50 SCALE IN METRES ON ORIGINAL DRAWING AT REDUCTION RATIO 1:500





Ch 500.00 m **CL Bishop Street** HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3





	TITLE:				
POSED REZONING OF ART OF LOT 1006		BISHOP S	TREE	Г	
DP 1270101	HDB Job No:	22012	Sheet:		REV:
3 MUNIBUNG ROAD	DRAWING NO:	22012(RZ)		7	Ц
BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL			





	hdb TownPlanning & Design
	1st Floor, 44 Church Street, PO Box 40, MAITLAND NSW 2320
<b>1100</b> You dig	P: (02) 4933 6682 F: (02) 4933 6683 E: admin@hdb.com.au W: www.hdb.com.au ABN 35 078 017 508

© Copyright 2021 - Hunter Development Brokerage PTY LTD All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the writter permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

	PRELIMINARY ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION	PROJECT:
SCALE:	AS NOTED	PROP
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PA
SURVEY:	_	
DATUM.:	AHD	128
CONTOUR :	_	

Ch 260.00 m **CL Grillo Street** HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3

	TITLE:			
POSED REZONING OF ART OF LOT 1006		GRILLO S	TREET	
DP 1270101	HDB Job No:	22012	Sheet:	REV:
MUNIBUNG ROAD	DRAWING NO:	22012(RZ)	Q	
BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL		



HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:500 @ A1 & 1:1000 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3





# Ch 740.00 m CL MacKenzie Parade

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



© Copyright 2021 - Hunter Development Brokerage PTY LTD

All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the writte permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

	PRELIMINARY ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION	PROJECT:
SCALE:	AS NOTED	PROP
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PA
SURVEY:	_	
DATUM.:	AHD	128
CONTOUR :	_	

Г:	TITLE:		
ROPOSED REZONING OF	M	ACKENZIE	PARA
PART OF LOT 1006			
DP 1270101	HDB Job No:	22012	Sheet:
28 MUNIBUNG ROAD	DRAWING NO:	22012(RZ)	
BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL	
	-		

## RADE

9



n with CL MacKenzie Parade						
Ch 177.500 RL 49.616 Intersection						
	999:05 d] 20.000	*		13.086%		
NTREFINE 41.28 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.21 41.	42.82 49.812 43.07 50.505 41.82 50.771 43.41 51.055 43.41 51.055	44.67 53.310 44.67 53.310	220.000 45.37 54.619 9.246   230.000 49.34 55.927 6.592	240.000     51.76     57.236     5.477       241.734     51.70     57.463     5.760       241.734     51.70     57.463     5.760       250.000     53.32     58.545     5.226	260.000     55.99     59.853     3.868       270.000     58.00     61.162     3.157	279.106     59.35     62.353     3.001       280.000     59.51     62.469     2.958
RIPTION IETER WALL DETAILS AYOUT	INITIAL APP. 		HORIZONTAL SCALE VERTICAL SCALE 1	1:500 @ A1 & 1:1000 @ A :100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 4 6	.3 8 10	
	RL 35.200   998.87   -1   20.000     13.472%   7.500     998.87   -1   20.000     13.472%   7.500     NTRELINE   999.81   616.9     T/FILL   998.87   91967     SIGN   895.9   91967     RFACE   897.9   912.87     AINAGE   000.91   000.01     AINAGE   000.091   000.01     AINAGE   000.091   005.11     RETER WALL   DETAILS	Ali   20.000   20.000     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     13.472%   7.500%   4     14   895.9   956.9   156.9     158   97.1   165.8   167.1     17.500%   16.17   20.500   17.5     15100   87.17   000.05.11   17.5     1617   000.000   05.11   100.000     11814   1817   000.000   17.5     11814   100.000   17.5   100.000     11915   100.000   11.5   100.000     11910   100.000   11.5   100.000     100.000	RL 35.200     98     97     98     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97     97	NITRELINE   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000   0.000	RL 55 200   98 9 9 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	$\frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}$





Ch 260.00 m CL Dwight Street

HORIZONTAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3 VERTICAL SCALE 1:100 @ A1 & 1:200 @ A3



© Copyright 2021 - Hunter Development Brokerage Pty LTD All rights reserved. Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd advises that this document and all information contained therein is protected by copyright under the Australian Copyright Act 1968. Reproduction of this document in part or whole and/or use without the written permission from Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd constitutes a breach of copyright. The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of Engagement for the commission. Any reference to the document must include the document in its entirety and also include reference to Hunter Development Brokerage Pty Ltd.

	PRELIMINARY ISSUED FOR DISCUSSION	PROJECT:
SCALE:	AS NOTED	PROP
DESIGNED:	Т.О.	PA
SURVEY:	_	
DATUM.:	AHD	128
CONTOUR :	_	

3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.5%   2.5%   2.5%     3%   2   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%     3%   2   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%     3%   2   2.6%   2.6%   2.6%     11   100   23.02   2.6%   2.6%     11   11   100   23.08   2.6%   2.6%		K&G		PAT		ВDΥ
54.62   59.672     54.48   59.782     54.48   59.782     54.48   59.782     53.93   59.827     53.93   59.827     53.93   59.827     53.93   59.827     53.50   59.857     53.51   59.857     53.52   59.857     53.53   59.857	3%	Ϋ́	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	
54.62 54.62 54.48 53.93 53.03 53.05 53.05 53.05						
6.05 6.53 6.53 9.70 9.70 11.00						
	6.05	6.53	8.50	9.70	11.00	11.50

	TITLE:			
POSED REZONING OF PART OF LOT 1006		DWIGHT S	STREET	
DP 1270101	HDB Job No:	22012	Sheet:	REV:
8 MUNIBUNG ROAD	DRAWING NO:	22012(RZ)	10	Ш
BOOLAROO	CLIENT : GREE	N CAPITAL		



INITIAL APPR.

VERT. N/A SHEET 1

J:\2022\22012 - WEEMALA - REZONING E2\DESIGN\ENGINEERING\22012(SL)REVB.DWG



SCALE:	1:500	S
DESIGNED:	R.F.	PRC
SURVEY:	_	OF
DATUM.:	AHD	
CONTOUR :	2.5m	